OFFICIAL BUSINESS
PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE, \$300 PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE CP4-3C23 AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER WAILED FROM ZIPCODE 22202

IF UNDELIVERABLE, RETURN IN TEN DAYS

DIFFICE OF PETITIONS

ROSELAND, NJ 07065 EVA I. TAN 103 EISENHOWER PARKWAY

42



Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 WWW. uspto, gov

Paper No. 30

GABRIEL P. KATONA GOODWIN PROCTET, LLP **599 LEXINGTON AVENUE** 40^{1H} FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10022

RECEIVED JUN 1 6 2004 OFFICE OF PETITIONS

COPY MAILED JUN 0 4 2004

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of Rudolf Eckardt et al Application No. 09/447,490 Filed: November, 23, 1999 Attorney Docket No. 0691-018A/GP

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed May 7, 2004, to revive the aboveidentified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

It is not apparent whether the person signing the statement of unintentional delay was in a position to have firsthand or direct knowledge of the facts and circumstances of the delay at issue. Nevertheless, such statement is being treated as having been made as the result of a reasonable inquiry into the facts and circumstances of such delay. See 37 CFR 10.18(b) and Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure; Final Rule Notice, 62 Fed. Reg. 53131, 53178 (October 10, 1997), 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 63, 103 (October 21, 1997). In the event that such an inquiry has not been made, petitioner must make such an inquiry. If such inquiry results in the discovery that it is not correct that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional, petitioner must notify the Office.

The person signing the instant petition also requests a change of correspondence address to the address given in the instant petition. There is, however, no indication that the person signing the instant petition was ever given a power of attorney or authorization of agent to prosecute the above-identified application. If the person signing the instant petition desires to receive future correspondence regarding this application, the appropriate power of attorney or authorization of agent must be submitted. While a courtesy copy of this decision is being mailed to the person signing the instant petition, all future correspondence will be directed to the address currently of record until such time as appropriate instructions are received to the contrary.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Irvin Dingle at (703) 306-5684. Merselp Jane a properties of properties of the control of th

en en la particular de la company de la comp

providence and the provide the providence of the second of



Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Paper No. 30

GABRIEL P. KATONA GOODWIN PROCTET, LLP 599 LEXINGTON AVENUE 40TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10022 JUN 1 6 2004
OFFICE OF PETITIONS

JUN 0 4 2004 OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of Rudolf Eckardt et al Application No. 09/447,490 Filed: November, 23, 1999 Attorney Docket No. 0691-018A/GP

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed May 7, 2004, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is GRANTED.

It is not apparent whether the person signing the statement of unintentional delay was in a position to have firsthand or direct knowledge of the facts and circumstances of the delay at issue. Nevertheless, such statement is being treated as having been made as the result of a reasonable inquiry into the facts and circumstances of such delay. See 37 CFR 10.18(b) and Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure; Final Rule Notice, 62 Fed. Reg. 53131, 53178 (October 10, 1997), 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 63, 103 (October 21, 1997). In the event that such an inquiry has not been made, petitioner must make such an inquiry. If such inquiry results in the discovery that it is not correct that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional, petitioner must notify the Office.

The person signing the instant petition also requests a change of correspondence address to the address given in the instant petition. There is, however, no indication that the person signing the instant petition was ever given a power of attorney or authorization of agent to prosecute the above-identified application. If the person signing the instant petition desires to receive future correspondence regarding this application, the appropriate power of attorney or authorization of agent must be submitted. While a courtesy copy of this decision is being mailed to the person signing the instant petition, all future correspondence will be directed to the address currently of record until such time as appropriate instructions are received to the contrary.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Irvin Dingle at (703) 306-5684.

The first of the freeze of a significant

and the second of the second of the second

A ROLL OF THE RESERVE OF THE SECOND S

The application file is being forwarded to Technology Center AU 1624 for further processing.

Irvin Dingle

Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

Office of the Deputy Commissioner for Patent Examination Policy

cc:

Eva I. Tan 103 Eisenhower Parkway Roseland, NJ 07065 The application file is being forwarded to Technology Center AU 1624 for further processing.

Irvin Dingle

Petitions Examiner

Office of Petitions

Office of the Deputy Commissioner for Patent Examination Policy

cc:

Eva I. Tan 103 Eisenhower Parkway Roseland, NJ 07065